

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,482	12/15/2003	Kenneth R. Hyme	COEC / 001P2	3496
26875 7	590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
2700 CAREW	TOWER			
441 VINE STR	EET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		2856	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				as/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- A11C			
		10/736,482	HYME, KENNETH R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert R. Raevis	2856				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail of the second section apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISCONNECT OF THE MAILING DEPLY WITH THE MAILING DEPLY WI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	lovember 2005.					
·		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits	is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage				
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	od				
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-18-04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Overdiek et al.

Overdiek et al teach (Figure 7) an apparatus for testing a relief valve, comprising: a body 60 constructed to withstand a high pressure, a cavity in the body and configured to enclose a pressure relieve valve 10, the cavity including a valve seat (seal 70) and aperture 68 through which the valve may be received into the cavity to contact the valve seat, pressure gauge 84 in communication with the cavity, fluid inlet 64, fluid outlet 66, and a press device 88 to sealably secure (see col. 11, lines 13-16) the valve within the cavity.

Overdiek does not state that the device 88 is a "closure couplable to said body...to sealably secure".

As to claims 1,4,5,6, Overdiek's valve 10 may be deemed to be "completely" enclosed in the cavity as Overdiek distinguishes (col. 5, lines 10-15) the spool 9 (which is illustrated in Figure 7 as being outside of the cavity) from the valve 10. It would have been obvious to couple element 88/89 to the body to assure that force applied to the valve is relative to the body, to assure sealing. Assembly 88,89/9 may be deemed to be a closure as the applied pressure maintains a seal between the valve and cavity. Finally,

As to claims 2 and 7, note member 88.

As to claim 3, Overdiek is silent as to the size of the valves to be tested, suggestive that such design may be applied to any known size valve. In addition, high pressure relieve valves are known, and Overdiek teaches a system to test such valves.

Claims 1,2,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Webster.

As to claims 1,4, please look at the figure, and see a body 10 including an upper cavity that encloses a pressure relieve valve 15, the cavity having a seat 16 and upper aperture though which the valve may be received into the cavity to contact the seat; a pressure gauge 36 in communication with the cavity; a fluid inlet 29,23; fluid outlet 14; and closure 21,22 to seal the cavity. Webster's element 15 is a valve.

As to claims 2,5, note the spring 19.

Claims 3,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster.

As to claim 3, it would have been obvious to employ a strong body, as relieve valves are both used and tested at great pressures, requiring even greater pressure resistant bodies.

As to claim 6, note that Webster tests valve "at any partifcu8lr adjustment" (lines 7-8, page 1), which is suggestive of adjusting the valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,482

Art Unit: 2856

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROPUL RAZUI'

Page 4



